

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>IN RE: DOMESTIC DRYWALL ANTITRUST LITIGATION</b>	<b>MDL No. 2437 13-MD-2437</b>
<b>THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:</b>  <b>All Direct Purchaser Actions All Indirect Purchaser Actions</b>	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 13th day of April 2016, after review of the Indirect and Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Renewed Motions for Authorization to Utilize a Portion of the USG and TIN Settlement Funds for Ongoing Litigation Expenses (ECF 357 (Indirect), 358 (Direct)), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED without prejudice, but the Court invites these Plaintiffs to file a renewed motion after these Plaintiffs have secured further details about the costs they seek to be reimbursed; and
2. Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED without prejudice, but the Court invites these Plaintiffs to file a renewed motion after these Plaintiffs have secured further details about the costs they seek to be reimbursed.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Michael M. Baylson \_\_\_\_\_  
**MICHAEL M. BAYLSON, U.S.D.J.**